

Respondent Name
ABetterRichland
Complainant Name
Conner Edwards
Complaint Description
Conner Edwards reported via the portal (Mon, 7 Apr 2025 at 11:53 AM) See complaint.
What impact does the alleged violation(s) have on the public?
See complaint
List of attached evidence or contact information where evidence may be found
See complaint & PDC website
List of potential witnesses with contact information to reach them
See complaint & PDC website
Certification (Complainant)
I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that information provided with this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Complaint Against: A Better Richland**Submitted:** 4/7/2025**Notice to Respondent Due By:** 4/17/2025 per WAC 390-37-050(1)**90 Day Initial Hearing Deadline:** 7/6/2025 per RCW 42.17A.755(3)**Statutes Violated:** RCW 42.17A.235, .240**Background****Washington State's Campaign Finance Disclosure Law**

One of the primary purposes of the public disclosure law is to provide citizens of this state, and especially voters, with the means for becoming informed about the financing of political campaigns.¹ The public disclosure law was enacted through voter approval of Initiative 276 in 1972.

State law requires candidates and committees that register under full reporting to submit regular reports of financial activity. Those reports are filed on schedules outlined on the PDC's key reporting dates calendar.²

Violations

This C4 report was due by March 10, 2025, but was not submitted until 4/7/25. This makes the report nearly one month late. See link: <https://apollo.pdc.wa.gov/public/registrations/campaign-finance-report/110278414> . The report contains nearly \$6000 in activity.

Additionally, it appears that the \$5700 in-kind payment to the law firm may have been required to have been listed as debt for January's C4. Furthermore, the full address of the law firm was not disclosed, which I'm sure PDC staff will view as a violation.

Additionally, this C4 from September of 2024

(<https://apollo.pdc.wa.gov/public/registrations/campaign-finance-report/110251024>) contains a panoply of expenditures missing the information that PDC staff believe is required to be disclosed. None of the addresses for the in-kind contribution vendors are provided. The run date for the Tri-City Herald advertisement is not provided. For the payment to Town Square Media: no station-by-station breakdown of the radio ads are provided, and neither are the run dates.

Additionally, the committee's website does not contain a "paid for by" statement and does not contain a list of the Top-5 donors to the committee, see link: <https://www.abetterrichland.com/> .

¹ <https://pdc.wa.gov/registration-reporting/candidates-committees/registration-reporting-basics>

² <https://pdc.wa.gov/registration-reporting/candidates-committees/registration-reporting-basics/key-dates-2024>

Conclusion

I urge the PDC staff to take quick action and resolve the noncompliance identified in this complaint.

Best,

/s/

Conner Edwards
(425) 533-1677 cell

Notice to Respondent

I apologize to you for any inconvenience caused by this complaint. The chance that you will actually be fined for the violations identified above is extremely small because the agency is averse to doing the work necessary to bring cases to hearings. Most likely this case – and any future cases against you – will simply be dismissed by staff.

This complaint is one of over one thousand complaints that I have filed to highlight and ultimately fix the significant shortcomings associated with the agency responsible for administering our state's campaign finance laws.

What are these shortcomings?

Overcomplicated and outdated requirements that are difficult to understand and comply with. Failure to properly educate the regulated community about the tasks they must perform to remain in compliance with applicable requirements and avoid complaints. Failure of the agency to send regular reminders to filers about pending deadlines. A failure to proactively enforce applicable requirements which enables the complaint system to become weaponized. Non-intuitive, non-user friendly, and buggy reporting software. Failure to meaningfully enforce core requirements. Failure to follow the best operating practices of other neighboring campaign finance agencies. An agency leadership structure that largely disregards stakeholder input and is slow to identify and resolve major agency deficiencies.

I believe that the best way to motivate the agency to address these problems is by filing a large number of complaints so that the agency's problems become impossible to ignore.

Slowly (too slowly) this strategy is starting to yield concrete and beneficial changes. You can read about these changes here: <https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/politics/why-one-man-filed-800-campaign-finance-complaints-against-wa-candidates/>

If you believe that the agency could have done something different to help you proactively avoid the issues identified in this complaint, I hope that you will consider including it in your response. The agency, and the public, can benefit from your perspective and feedback.

**“Be the change that you wish to see in the world.”
— Mahatma Gandhi**