

Doug Speas – replied Tues, Dec 24, 2019 at 10:00AM
notified to: "PDC Support" pdca@pdca.wa.gov

Tabatha:

Thanks for the call. Below are my responses.

Allegation #1:

I was unaware that anyone was reaching out to me through the YesforCamas email, as I was not checking it every day as we got closer to the election. I had created the email approximately 6 weeks earlier and no one had reached out prior to this. I received a call on Friday, November 1 from one of your colleagues at the PDC alerting me to the fact that someone was trying to get ahold of me. They shared that Peg Leland had left messages for me beginning on Thursday, October 31. I took Peg's contact info from them and called her that afternoon. Peg did not answer, but she called me back later that day. On the call, Peg was hostile towards me and demanded that I show her our books that afternoon. I explained to her that I was at work and not able to do that. She then demanded that I give her our books the next morning. I responded that this was the weekend and suggested that I could provide this information on Monday. She then demanded that I give her the information first thing on Monday morning. I asked her if she wanted to meet and she replied no, she wanted me to send her our books through email. Before hanging up, I said that I would send her our information on Monday. Over the weekend Peg proceeded to mock and harass me on [Nextdoor.com](https://www.nextdoor.com) by stating to the public through multiple posts that I was violating campaign finance laws. I sent Peg an email on Monday evening with a one page document with our finance information. The document referenced the signs, brochures and facebook posts. I was not aware that I needed to provide further detailed information.

Allegation #2:

I believe I answered most of this under Allegation #1. I was unaware that I needed to make the records available between 8-5 and within 48 hours upon request. I sent Peg Leland the information at 11:45pm on Monday. I did this simply because she was harassing me online...

Allegation #3:

A local citizen by the name of David Lugliani (I did not know him previously) purchased the signs and fliers and distributed them around town before I even started the YES campaign. David was not part of my campaign, so I believe this qualifies as an "independent expenditure" as you mention below. I did include this cost in my email to Peg Leland simply because I thought I had to include anything purchased in the community to support the proposition. I was trying to be as transparent as possible, but in hindsight did not understand the guidelines. Early on in my campaign I was alerted to the fact that there were already signs and brochures out in the community (purchased by David Lugliani), so I made the decision not to purchase signs. Regarding the [Nextdoor.com](https://www.nextdoor.com) post in which I stated the Yes campaign paid for the flyer. This was during a contentious exchange online in which outspoken proponents of the opposing campaign were accusing the City of Camas of paying for the brochures and violating campaign finance law. I was defending the City and essentially misspoke simply to make the point that the City was not involved. I was also still under the impression at this point that David's signs and brochures needed to be reported as part of the Yes campaign.

Allegation #4:

I believe I covered this under Allegation #3. David Lugliani purchased the fliers outside of the campaign and was not affiliated with the campaign.

I think it's important to note, this was my first (and last) campaign. I was asked to do this at the last minute. In retrospect, I did not run a perfect campaign, but I tried to run an honest and positive campaign as my reputation in the community is extremely important to me.

Please let me know if I didn't answer all of the questions and/or if I can provide any further information.

Respectfully,

Doug
