

Complaint Description

[Glen Morgan](#) (Wed, 30 Oct 2019 at 8:54 AM)

To whom it may concern,

I noticed that Anthony Odo, a candidate for the Bainbridge Island Council has committed numerous violations of Washington State's campaign finance laws (**RCW 42.17A**) during his 2019 campaign.

1) Failure to accurately describe expense. (Violation of RCW 42.17A.240(6) & WAC 390-16037, RCW 42.17A.235)

This campaign has regularly failed to follow Washington State's Campaign Finance laws as they apply to the reporting of expenditures. Most C4s this campaign filed contained violations of the statute and the rules written by the Public Disclosure Commission which support the statute.

Here are some examples that need to be corrected by this well-funded, PAC-supported, local political campaign to at least go through the motions of complying with the statute: Examples of a failure to provide sufficient detail of expenditures (unambiguous violations of **RCW 42.17A.240(6)** and **WAC 390-16-037** (see **example B** provided at **WAC 390-16-037(3)**):

For example, the expenditures reported on **PDC Report # 100921861** failed to report a description including adequate details of any kind for "signs" on 7/1/19 for \$1,362.50 from "Capital Press", and on the same report a \$780.24 purchase of "Rack Cards" from vendor "Mercury Direct" on 7/22/19 with no details about the quantity printed. On the same report on 7/1/2019 there was no detail about how many "t-shirts" were purchased from vendor "Engage Campaigns" (although I like how he broke out the "Design" and "Shirt Printing" in the description), this lack of specific detail is a clear violations of **WAC 390-16-037(3) example B** provided.

Similar violations were repeated on **PDC Report # 100937685**. On this report, a transaction for \$4,029.51 on 10/1/2019 for a "mailer" for vendor "Mercury Direct" but zero details were provided. The \$2,170.00 for "postage" that day is presumably associated with the mysterious mailer, but again, legally required detail is not provided. This campaign needs to correct these legally deficient filings. This campaign must properly report all the details and missing expenditures which have been concealed from the public by this campaign's willingness to ignore the law.

The PDC should investigate and verify whether this candidate's campaign is violating other campaign finance laws as well. They hired a professional campaign consultant to run the campaign - just what are they paying these people to do if they can't follow the law?

Please feel free to contact me if you need further information.

Best Regards,

Glen Morgan

What impact does the alleged violation(s) have on the public?

The public has a right to know the legally required details about how this politician's well-funded, consultant managed, PAC-supported campaign is spending it's campaign dollars. Right now, they do not.

List of attached evidence or contact information where evidence may be found.

Referenced by tracking numbers posted inside the complaint itself.

List of potential witnesses with contact information to reach them.

The candidate, the treasurer, and the consultant.

Complaint Certification:

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that information provided with this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.