

June 19, 2017

Public Disclosure Commission

711 Capitol Way #206
PO BOX 40908
Olympia, WA 98504-0908

Office of the Attorney General

State of Washington
1125 Washington Street, SE
PO Box 40100
Olympia, A 98504

ETHICS & ELECTIONS VIOLATION COMPLAINT AGAINST JUST WANT PRIVACY

Respondent:

Just Want Privacy
16212 Bothell Everett Highway
Suite F113
Mill Creek, WA 98012

RE: Violation of Chapter 42.17a RCW

In violation of the Fair Campaign Practices Act, the Just Want Privacy PAC has failed to report staff time and campaign resources from individuals and organizations. Nor have these individuals or groups, Alliance Defending Freedom, the Family Policy Institute of Washington, nor WA State Representative Graham Hunt, have reported their contributions as required by **RCW 42.17a**.

501(c)(3) Family Policy Institute of Washington Is Making Significant Unreported In-Kind Contributions to Just Want Privacy

The Family Policy Institute of Washington is a 501(c)(3) organization. It failed to report significant campaign activities carried out by the (c)(3) arm of its organization, which – similar to the issues that have led the Attorney General’s Office to investigate the Freedom Foundation -- puts them afoul of PDC rules, state laws pertaining to campaign finance disclosures, and potentially IRS laws regarding the activities of organizations with tax-exempt status.

The Just Want Privacy campaign is spearheaded by the Family Policy Institute of Washington and they share resources and staff, however no staff time, office space or other campaign resources from FPIW have been declared in any of Just Want Privacy’s C4s as in-kind services to campaign.

The Just Want Privacy C1 lists FPIW President Joseph Backholm as the campaign chairman. Their own communications show that resources are being committed by the Family Policy Institute of Washington, for example:

1. FPIW Gender Revolution Events Are In Fact I-1552 Campaign Organizing Events

As the Southern Law Poverty Law Center reported in their April 24, 2017 blog post "[Washington Anti-LGBT Seminars Begin Organizing For Another Bathroom Bill Initiative](#)", Joseph Backholm has been speaking around the state at "Gender Revolution" events organized and promoted by the Family Policy Institute of Washington and encouraging attendees to get actively involved in the Just Want Privacy campaign (Appendix 3):

The larger purpose of the seminars, however, became clear as the talk wound on and Backholm began describing the "cultural" part of how people could combat the "gender revolution"—namely, to encourage participants to engage in the political battle over gender by supporting and helping to promote the FPIW's new anti-transgender "bathroom bill," Initiative 1552. [...]

He urged seminar-goers to get involved on three levels—interpersonally, at their churches, and politically. He described the churches as being "at war, for sure," and urged everyone at the seminar to help organize support for the drive to gather signatures for I-1552.

[Video from a Gender Revolution event](#) with the Kitsap Patriots Tea Party uploaded April 24, 2017 shows Backholm speaking on behalf of the Family Policy Institute of Washington but advocating for Just Want Privacy and encouraging signature gathering for their initiative petitions (Appendix 4, around the 11:00 minute mark):

"There is a table back there and I told Rebecca if you try to leave without a petition, you might not like what happens. So that's just my warning. Make sure you pick up one on the way out."

The [event page of the FPIW Facebook page](#) shows separate event pages created for ten different events in meeting spaces in hotels across the state during the month of April 2017: Aberdeen, Pasco, Spokane, Sequim, Bremerton, Tacoma, Wenatchee, Bellingham, Vancouver, and Bothell (Appendix 5). Two more Gender Revolution events have been announced for June 2017: Burlington on June 20th and Spokane on June 22nd.

However, the C4 reports filed by Just Want Privacy show no in-kind contributions from the Family Policy Institute of Washington for materials or meeting space despite that fact that Backholm and FPIW utilized these events as campaign events for Just Want Privacy's Initiative 1552 efforts (Appendix 6).

2. FPIW's COO and Policy Director Chris Plante sent out an email to the FPIW e-mail list on March 2, 2017 that touted the organization's involvement in the Just Want Privacy campaign (Appendix 1):

Family Policy Institute of Washington is happy to support the efforts to qualify Initiative 1552 for the ballot this November and see it passed by the voters of Washington. [...]

Among FPIW's efforts to help Just Want Privacy (JWP), the organization running the initiative, **FPIW is serving as a Regional Petition Center.** You may pick up blank petitions

for circulating and return gathered signatures to us. Our address is 16108 Ash Way, Suite 113 Lynnwood 98087. Our normal business hours are 8 – 5. [...]

3. Another email from Plante to the FPIW email list sent on June 14, 2017 promoted a FPIW [blog post](#) authored by Joseph Backholm that pushes readers to help with Just Want Privacy's campaign (Appendix 15).

There is [sic] only four weeks left to get the 330,000 signatures necessary to allow the voters to have a voice on this issue.

If you haven't signed the petition, click here to have a petition mailed to you , your friends, and family can sign the petition. Then click here to make a contribution.

4. Facebook photos from the FPIW Facebook page also clearly show that FPIW had a table for gathering signatures for Just Want Privacy at their 10th Annual Dinner event in Bellevue on April 28, 2017 (Appendix 2). None of those resources were declared as an in-kind contribution to the campaign from FPIW.
5. FPIW's Executive Director, Joseph Backholm, often issues his own statements, [videos](#) and appears in media on behalf of Just Want Privacy but none of his compensation from FPIW is declared in either organization's filings.

National Organizations Also Making Unreported In-Kind Contributions to Just Want Privacy

In an [interview on January 31, 2017](#), Just Want Privacy Communications Director Kaeley Triller Haver told talk radio show Eyes on Washington that the campaign was receiving support from national organizations (Appendix 7, 33:50min mark):

“Then even from a national perspective we have a lot of support from the obvious allies here: the Alliance Defending Freedom and Family Policy Council, things like that.”

The Family Policy Institute of Washington lists both the Alliance Defending Freedom and the Family Research Council as national organizations that partner with their group on the “[Associations](#)” page of the FPIW website (Appendix 8). Just Want Privacy also touts Alliance Defending Freedom's support such as on their [Signature Sunday webpage](#) (“Can Churches Legally Participate? Absolutely! Letter from Alliance Defending Freedom)

On June 8, 2017, the Alliance Defending Freedom [produced and broadcasted a podcast](#) with Just Want Privacy's staff about I-1552 (Appendix 9). None of the costs were accounted for in financial filings.

However, none of the C4 reports from Just Want Privacy show any in-kind or cash contributions from these national organizations.

Other Missing In-Kinds From Supporters

1. According to a Facebook post from May 23, 2017, Just Want Privacy received office space and office equipment for petition processing from **former Rep. Graham Hunt** (Appendix 10). None of this support has been accounted for in financial filings from either former

Rep. Hunt nor Just Want Privacy.

2. On May 19, 2017, Tadd Bentson of Bentson Printing provided the use of a commercial scanner to the Just Want Privacy campaign for scanning petition sheets (Appendix 11). None of this support has been accounted for in financial filings.
3. On May 16, 2017, Just Want Privacy posted photos on Facebook of volunteers doing data entry for the initiative in what is clearly an office space (Appendix 12). None of this support has been accounted for in financial filings.
4. On May 8, 2017, Just Want Privacy made an appeal for volunteers in their office in Tacoma (Appendix 13), however none of this office space has been accounted for in financial filings.
5. On June 2, 2017, Just Want Privacy made an appeal for volunteers to help process petitions in a location in Lynnwood (Appendix 14), however none of this office space has been accounted for in financial filings.

Conclusion

Just Want Privacy has been receiving significant resources in the form of email blasts, blog posts, staff time, office space and organizational support for their initiative efforts that have gone unreported in their financial filings. This lack of transparency with the public is troublesome and the campaign should remedy these discrepancies immediately and be sanctioned by the PDC accordingly.

Appendices

Appendix 1:

Family Policy Institute of Washington, "Get Privacy Petitions At FPIW", e-mail sent March 3, 2017

Appendix 2:

Family Policy Institute of Washington Facebook Photo Album, "FPIW Annual Dinner 2017 - Celebrating 10 Years!", Photo #2, posted April 28, 2017:

<https://www.facebook.com/FamilyPolicy/photos/a.10155226919918688.1073741829.160152163687/10155226919993688/?type=3&theater>

Appendix 3:

Southern Poverty Law Center, "Washington Anti-LGBT Seminars Begin Organizing For Another 'Bathroom Bill' Initiative, April 24, 2017,

<https://www.splcenter.org/hatewatch/2017/04/24/washington-anti-lgbt-seminars-begin-organizing-another-bathroom-bill-initiative>

Appendix 4:

Joseph Backholm at Kitsap Patriots Tea Party, YouTube, uploaded April 24, 2017, 11:00 minute mark, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LGjbtldmmfU&feature=youtu.be&t=660>

Appendix 5:

Family Policy Institute of Washington Facebook Events Page, Gender Revolution Events, April 3, 2017 – May 8, 2017, <https://www.facebook.com/pg/FamilyPolicy/events/>

Appendix 6:

Just Want Privacy, April C4, filed May 10, 2017

Appendix 7:

Eyes On Washington, Interview With Kaeley Triller Haver, January 31, 2017, 33:50 minute mark, http://www.eyesonwashingtonmedia.com/?page_id=394

Appendix 8:

Family Policy Institute of Washington Website, “Associations” Page, National Organizations, accessed May 20, 2017, <http://www.fpiw.org/associations/>

Appendix 9:

Alliance Defending Freedom Podcast, “An Initiative for Common Sense in Washington”, June 7, 2017, <https://soundcloud.com/freedommatters/an-initiative-for-common-sense-in-washington>

Appendix 10:

Just Want Privacy Supporter Facebook Post, May 23, 2017.

Appendix 11:

Just Want Privacy Supporter Facebook Post, May 19, 2017.

Appendix 12:

Just Want Privacy Supporter Facebook Post, May 16, 2017.

Appendix 13:

Just Want Privacy Supporter Facebook Post, May 8, 2017.

Appendix 14:

Just Want Privacy Supporter Facebook Post, June 2, 2017.

Appendix 15:

Family Policy Institute of Washington email, June 14, 2017



Get Privacy Petitions at FPIW

1 message

Chris Plante (FPIW) <chris@fpiw.org>
Reply-To: "Chris Plante (FPIW)" <chris@fpiw.org>
To: [REDACTED]

Fri, Mar 3, 2017 at 9:18 AM

[Having trouble viewing this email? Click here](#)



March 2

Dear [REDACTED],

Family Policy Institute of Washington is happy to support the efforts to qualify **Initiative 1552** for the ballot this November and see it passed by the voters of Washington.

Women and girls have a right to privacy and safety in intimate facilities like showers and changing rooms, schools should maintain separate locker room and shower facilities for boys and girls, and businesses should be allowed to manage private areas in the way they feel is best for them.

Among FPIW's efforts to help Just Want Privacy (JWP), the organization running the initiative, FPIW is serving as a Regional Petition Center. You may pick up blank petitions for circulating and return gathered signatures to us. Our address is 16108 Ash Way, Suite 113 Lynnwood 98087. Our normal business hours are 8 – 5.

Just Want Privacy needs to collect **330,000 signatures by July 7th** to qualify for the ballot.

No law should ever force little girls to share their gym showers with grown males.

Unfortunately, in December of 2015, the 5-member WA State Human Rights Commission, imposed a [statewide rule](#) that does just that. Any man can enter any public girl's locker room in the entire state and declare his right to be there. If girls complain, they will be asked to leave and subject to penalty. The rule dangerously forces girls to ignore their red flags and boundaries for fear of a lawsuit. Help JWP repeal this regressive rape culture and restore safety, privacy, and common sense in WA.

For more information on Just Want Privacy and how you can get more involved visit www.justwantprivacy.org.

There you will find ways to volunteer or donate, the history behind this horrendous law and the strategy to overturn it, and a full network of like-minded champions tirelessly working to protect the safety and privacy of women and girls. You'll also find other Regional Petition Centers and how to have petitions mailed directly to you.

We urge you to join the effort.

Standing together,



Chris Plante
COO/Policy Director



P.S. FPIW is privileged to stand with Just Want Privacy to protect girls and women. We still need you to support FPIW with your generous tax-deductible donations to Family Policy Institute of Washington. [Even now, please consider making a gift right here today. Thank you.](#)

This email was sent to [redacted] | [Unsubscribe](#)
Family Policy Institute of Washington | 16108 Ash Way, Suite 113 | Lynnwood | WA | 98087



[nonprofit software](#)

FPIW Annual Dinner 2017 - Celebrating 10 Years!



Safety & Privacy
for ALL
E: Volunteer@JustWantPrivacy.org P: 425-409-8186 W: JustWantPrivacy.org

Take a Petition!
Fill it Up!
Mail it Back!
By June 1!
Every Signature Helps!

Sign Here

Take a Petition!
Fill it Up!
Mail it Back!
By June 1!
Every Signature Helps!

Celebrating
TEN YEARS
FAMILY POLICY INSTITUTE

Like Comment Share

Options Send in Messenger

Family Policy Institute of Washington
Like This Page · April 28 ·

In Bellevue, Washington.

Like Comment Share

2

Write a comment...

Suggested Pages See All

Standever Institute
24 people like this.
Like



HATEWATCH

Washington Anti-LGBT Seminars Begin Organizing For Another 'Bathroom Bill' Initiative

April 24, 2017

by David Neiwert

Joseph Backholm's FRC- and ADF-affiliated Family Policy Institute of Washington is once again leading the charge to deny transgender restroom or locker-room use.

In the bigger scheme of things, Joseph Backholm sees the fight over bathrooms and transgender rights as something of a short-term battle in what he calls a "war" against LGBT rights—but, he predicts, it will prove to be the decisive one.

"Same-sex marriage is temporary," he recently told participants in a "Gender Revolution" seminar in Tacoma, Washington. "It cannot endure because it's not true. Now, same-sex marriage might survive America, but it won't survive."

He continued: "There is no chance that, 300 years from now, we'll look back and man will realize gender doesn't matter. That's not because we're smarter. Eventually humanity will discover that is a broken model, it doesn't work. It is going to go away."

The ostensible purpose of the seminars—organized by the [Family Policy Institute of Washington](#), founded and overseen [by Backholm](#), who lives in suburban Lynnwood—was to discuss the so-called "gender revolution."

Most of the 90-minute talk given by Backholm in the seminars, held in locations around Washington state, was devoted to attacking the transgender movement by ridiculing claims and assertions about gender identity common among people who choose to identify with a gender other than that which they are born with.

The larger purpose of the seminars, however, became clear as the talk wound on and Backholm began describing the “cultural” part of how people could combat the “gender revolution”—namely, to encourage participants to engage in the political battle over gender by supporting and helping to promote the FPIW’s new anti-transgender “bathroom bill,” [Initiative 1552](#).



^ Joseph Backholm frequently appears on regional news programs and reports to discuss the anti-LGBT and anti-abortion causes his Family Policy Institute of Washington promotes.

The new version goes beyond similar legislation in other states. For example, it provides for civil penalties against school districts that allow minors to be exposed to transgender persons.

This is not FPIW’s first go-round with a “bathroom bill.” It attempted to pass an earlier version of the same legislation, dubbed Initiative 1515, in 2016 during the general election. The measure, however, [failed when FPIW](#) was unable to gather enough signatures to make it onto the ballot.

Indeed, the organization has a long history of sponsoring anti-LGBT legislation and policies. It also has a long track record of failure when it comes to persuading voters.

Founded in 2008 by Backholm, the group is the only organization listed by the anti-LGBT hate group [Family Research Council](#) as its affiliated “[family policy council](#)” for Washington. Backholm and his group are [warmly listed](#) as “alliance” members for another anti-LGBT hate group, the [Alliance Defending Freedom](#), which describes how Backholm “is drawing on all of his [ADF] resources and contacts to generate a strong response to the

legislature's new marriage law.”

- In 2009, Backholm and his group helped lead the charge against same-sex “domestic partnerships,” which were then legal in Washington. The FPIW’s initiative to outlaw the status failed, 53% - 47%.
- After the Washington Legislature voted in 2012 to legalize same-sex marriage, Backholm’s spinoff organization Preserve Marriage Washington campaign led another initiative attempt to have the law overturned. Instead, voters upheld the law by a similar 54% - 46% margin.
- In 2016, FPIW led the campaign for Initiative 1551, a “bathroom bill” which it titled “Just Want Privacy.” That one didn’t even make it onto the ballot.

Backholm’s FPIW has also led the charge on a number of religious-right fronts in the state, including:

- Support for anti-abortion legislation to define life as beginning at conception.
- Campaigning to require parental consent for anyone under 18 seeking an abortion. There were no exceptions for rape or incest in the legislation, and Backholm dismissed claims that teens’ lives could be put at risk by the requirement.
- Opposition to legislation expanding access to birth control for poor women; FPIW claimed that access to contraception was already adequate.
- Lobbying against a telemedicine bill, providing for health care services delivered through video and interactive audio. FPIW claimed it would allow “webcam abortions.” FPIW also lobbied against a bill requiring insurance companies that cover maternity care to also cover abortions.
- Campaigning in support of a bill about “informed decision making” for Washington state’s Death with Dignity Act, which permits people to choose doctor-assisted suicide. The FPIW-supported bill would have required doctors, as with anti-abortion “informed consent” laws, to inform patients about “feasible alternatives” before they could access drugs for assisted suicide.

Backholm [has a history](#) of outrageous and bigoted remarks in support of his agenda as well. He contends that gay relations in general are in violation of “natural and moral laws”: “The idea that all sex is good sex, so long as it involves adults, will not survive because it cannot survive,” he writes at [the FPIW site](#). “The natural and moral laws of the universe are not subject to court ruling or UN resolution. While many within the tolerance movement will go to the grave convinced of the justness of their cause, history will inevitably see it differently.”

Arguing against same-sex marriage, Backholm [compared it](#) to the medieval practice of bloodletting:

Redefining marriage in this way, saying that there is no difference between men and women, that it's not important for children to have both a mother and a father, that's not just bad policy, it's wrong in the eternal sense. So because it's untrue, it will ultimately be proven as untrue and we will come around to recognize the error of our ways. We used to believe in bloodletting as good medical practice, culture has embraced a lot of things temporarily until they realized it's based on things that are not true. This is one of those, it has to be temporary, not just because I want it to be temporary, but because it's untrue in the eternal sense.

While organizing for Initiative 1515 in 2016, [Backholm quipped](#) to an audience he was preparing for signature gathering: “For the gentlemen, what I would encourage you to do, if you want to be so bold and to make the point, take your petition and stand outside the women’s restroom at the mall, and if any of the women don’t want to sign it, just go ahead and follow ‘em on in,” he said, to laughter. “Maybe this will be a better time to sign our little petition.”

Last year, FPIW was [sued by Planned Parenthood](#) to prevent the release of names of people from the University of Washington who had become embroiled in the widely disproven claims about the health-care organization’s purported sale of fetal tissue. FPIW had worked to support the claims of [the Center for Medical Progress](#) and its leader, David

Daleiden, concocted through spuriously edited video recordings, that fetal tissue sales were being promoted by PP; Daleiden and one of his cohorts is currently under indictment in California for conspiracy to invade privacy.

For now, Backholm's focus is entirely about passing I-1552, dubbed once again the "Just Want Privacy" initiative, though the rhetoric is once again charged and bigoted. While campaigning for the bill in 2016, Backholm compared trans people to the story of "The Emperor's New Clothes."

"I once thought that story was useful as an illustration but patently absurd," Backholm said. "No parade would gather to celebrate the new clothes of a naked emperor—until Bruce Jenner. And we now have a nation celebrating the fiction that a man is now a woman."

The FPIW's "Gender Revolution" seminars were held in eight locations around the state, including Bothell, Bellingham, Sequim, Spokane and Pasco. The Tacoma seminar featured a discursion on a component of FPIW's campaign against transgender acceptance in the state's schools, attacking the Washington Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction over new learning standards saying that kindergarten students should "understand there are many ways to express gender," third graders should learn that "gender roles can vary considerably" and "understand [the] importance of treating others with respect regarding gender identity," and fourth graders will learn the definition of sexual orientation.

As Backholm made clear, the FPIW believes that this constitutes an attack on conservative students: "It is frightening to think that students who hold traditional beliefs about gender and sexual identity may have to choose between accepting politically correct talking points or failing assignments and being ostracized by school administrators."

FPIW promoted the seminars with provocative taglines: "We celebrate 'Women's Day', but no one can define what a woman—or a man—actually is anymore" and "[W]hy is my child's school working so hard to convince them there are 56 genders and you can be any gender you want?"

During the seminar, Backholm suggested a common conspiratorial

explanation of the progression of LGBT rights to feature transgender concerns. He described how, once same-sex marriage became the law of the land with the Supreme Court's 2014 Obergefell v. Hodges ruling, LGBT organizers immediately began moving toward "comprehensive federal LGBT non-discrimination protections," and the cause of transgender rights became a central player in their agenda. "They needed a new victim class," Backholm said.

All this, he argued, was simply the product of a long moral slide: "The thing that binds this all together is the desire to create an environment in which there are no rules," he told the audience.

Backholm also proffered his views on the nature of transgender identification, claiming that LGBT people have simply slapped a trendy new label on something mundane: "All of this begins to make sense when you realize that when they use the word gender, what they really mean is personality," he said. "The discussion about gender is really a conversation about personality."

He urged seminar-goers to get involved on three levels—interpersonally, at their churches, and politically. He described the churches as being "at war, for sure," and urged everyone at the seminar to help organize support for the drive to gather signatures for I-1552.

"Our kids are just flat-out being lied to, about stuff that's going to destroy their mind, spirit, soul and body," he warned. "We should be a place

Get the latest investigative reporting from Hatewatch

Your Email Address

SUBSCRIBE

from happening in the first place.

The larger enemy, as Backholm acknowledged, was the "LGBT agenda," but added: "That's not our primary objective right now. There's hotter irons in the fire. It's not the root, it's the fruit of the tree."

* * *



Family Policy Institute of Washington

@FamilyPolicy

Home

About

Posts

Videos

Events

Livestream

Notes

Likes

Action Center

Photos

Create a Page

Like Follow Share ...

Send Message

- MAY 8
Mon 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Grays Harbor Foursquare Chu...
Aberdeen
- APR 28
Fri 6 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Family Policy Institute of Washington 10 Yea...

Hyatt Regency Bellevue
Bellevue
- APR 21
Fri 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Holiday Inn Express and Suite...
Pasco
- APR 20
Thu 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Hotel RL by Red Lion Spokan...
Spokane
- APR 18
Tue 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Sequim Holiday Inn Express
Sequim
- APR 17
Mon 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Baymont Inn & Suites Bremerton
Bremerton
- APR 13
Thu 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Holiday Inn Express & Suites ...
Tacoma
- APR 11
Tue 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Red Lion Hotel Wenatchee
Wenatchee
- APR 10
Mon 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution: Why does everything se...

Holiday Inn & Suites Bellingham
Bellingham
- APR 4
Tue 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution Discussion Series

DoubleTree By Hilton Vancouver
Vancouver
- APR 3
Mon 7 PM · Hosted by Family Policy Institute of Washington

Gender Revolution Discussion Series

Cedar Park Camps
Bothell

SUMMARY, FULL REPORT RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES

C4 (3/97)	PDC OFFICE USE
	100760132
	05-10-2017

Candidate or Committee Name (Do not abbreviate. Include full name)
 Just Want Privacy (Just Want Privacy)

Mailing Address
 16212 Bothell Everett Hwy Ste F113

City
 Mill Creek, WA

Zip + 4 98012	Office Sought (Candidates)	Election Date 2017
Report Period Covered 04/01/17	From (last C-4) 04/01/17	To (end of period) 04/30/17
	Final Report? Yes No X	

***For PACs, Parties & Caucus Committees:** During this report period, did the committee make an **independent expenditure** (i.e., an expense not considered a contribution supporting or opposing a state or local candidate)?

RECEIPTS	*See next page	Yes	No
1. Previous total cash and in kind contributions (From line 8, last C-4) (if beginning a new campaign or calendar year, see instruction booklet)	\$		62,858.98
2. Cash received (From line 2, Schedule A)	\$	24,060.00	
3. In kind contributions received (From line 1, Schedule B).....		0.00	
4. Total cash and in kind contributions received this period (Line 2 plus 3).....			24,060.00
5. Loan principal repayments made (From line 2, Schedule L).....		0.00	
6. Corrections (From line 1 or 3, Schedule C)..... Show + or (-)		0.00	
7. Net adjustments this period (Combine line 5 & 6)..... Show + or (-)			0.00
8. Total cash and in kind contributions during campaign (Combine lines 1, 4 & 7)			86,918.98
9. Total pledge payments due (From line 2, Schedule B).....		0.00	

EXPENDITURES	
10. Previous total cash and in kind expenditures (From line 17, last C-4) (if beginning a new campaign or calendar year, see instruction booklet)	23,765.26
11. Total cash expenditures (From line 4, Schedule A)	30,031.13
12. In kind expenditures (goods & services) (From line 1, Schedule B)	0.00
13. Total cash and in kind expenditures made this period (Line 11 plus line 12).....	30,031.13
14. Loan principal repayments made (From line 2, Schedule L).....	0.00
15. Corrections (From line 2 or 3, Schedule C)..... Show + or (-)	0.00
16. Net adjustments this period (Combine lines 14 & 15)..... Show + or (-)	0.00
17. Total cash and in kind expenditures during campaign (Combine lines 10, 13 and 16).....	53,796.39

CANDIDATES ONLY			
	Won	Lost	Unopposed
Primary election	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
General election	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Treasurer's Daytime Telephone No.:			
(253) 988-2455			

CASH SUMMARY	
18. Cash on hand (Line 8 minus line 17)..... <small>[Line 18 should equal your bank account balance(s) plus your petty cash balance.]</small>	33,122.59
19. Liabilities: (Sum of loans and debts owed)	88.29
20. Balance (Surplus or deficit) (Line 18 minus line 19)	33,034.30

CERTIFICATION: I certify that the information herein and on accompanying schedules and attachments is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Candidate's Signature Just Want Privacy	Date 05/10/17	Treasurer's Signature Tom Perry	Date 05/10/17
--	------------------	------------------------------------	------------------

CASH RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE

SCHEDULE
to C4 **A**
(11/93)

2

Candidate or Committee Name (Do not abbreviate. Use full name.) Report Date
 Just Want Privacy (Just Want Privacy) 04/01/17 04/30/17

1. CASH RECEIPTS (Contributions) which have been reported on C3. List each deposit made since last C4 report was submitted.

Date of deposit	Amount	Date of deposit	Amount	Date of deposit	Amount	Total deposits
04/03/2017	2,095.00	04/07/2017	770.00	04/13/2017	695.00	
04/03/2017	2,565.00	04/11/2017	10,855.00	04/19/2017	275.00	
04/07/2017	245.00	04/11/2017	190.00	See attached		

2. TOTAL CASH RECEIPTS Enter also on line 2 of C4 \$ 24,060.00

CODES FOR CLASSIFYING EXPENDITURES: If one of the following codes is used to describe an expenditure, no other description is generally needed. The exceptions are:

- 1) If expenditures are in-kind or earmarked contributions to a candidate or committee or independent expenditures that benefit a candidate or committee, identify the candidate or committee in the Description block;
- 2) When reporting payments to vendors for travel expenses, identify the traveler and travel purpose in the Description block; and
- 3) If expenditures are made directly or indirectly to compensate a person or entity for soliciting signatures on a statewide initiative or referendum petition, use code "V" and provide the following information on an attached sheet: name and address of each person/entity compensated, amount paid each during the reporting period, and cumulative total paid all persons to date to gather signatures.

CODE DEFINITIONS ON NEXT PAGE	C - Contributions (monetary, in-kind & transfers) I - Independent Expenditures L - Literature, Brochures, Printing B - Broadcast Advertising (Radio, TV) N - Newspaper and Periodical Advertising O - Other Advertising (yard signs, buttons, etc.) V - Voter Signature Gathering	P - Postage, Mailing Permits S - Surveys and Polls F - Fundraising Event Expenses T - Travel, Accommodations, Meals M - Management/Consulting Services W - Wages, Salaries, Benefits G - General Operation and Overhead
-------------------------------------	---	---

3. EXPENDITURES

- a) Expenditures of \$50 or less, including those from petty cash, need not be itemized. Add up these expenditures and show the total in the amount column on the first line below..
- b) Itemize each expenditure of more than \$50 by date paid, name and address of vendor, code/description, and amount.
- c) For each payment to a candidate, campaign worker, PR firm, advertising agency or credit card company, attach a list of detailed expenses or copies of receipts/invoices supporting the payment.

Date Paid	Vendor or Recipient (Name and Address)	Code	Purpose of Expense and/or Description	Amount
N/A	Expenses of \$50 or less	N/A	N/A	328.32
04/03/17	Anedot PO Box 84314 Baton Rouge, LA 70884	G	Credit card fees	100.31
04/03/17	Pony Mailbox & Business Center 16212 Bothell Everett Hwy Ste F Mill Creek, WA 98012	G	PO box rental	269.00
04/04/17	Integrated Solutions Political 4142 Adams Ave Ste 103-550 San Diego, CA 92116	G	Database hosting	150.00
04/05/17	Bentson Printing 120 N Tacoma Ave Tacoma, WA 98403	L	Postcards	294.53
04/12/17	Constant Contact 1601 Trapelo Rd Fl 3 Waltham, MA 02451	G	Emails	215.29
04/12/17	Office Depot 5190 Borgen Blvd Gig Harbor, WA 98332	P	Postage	392.00

Total from attached pages \$ 28,281.68

4. TOTAL CASH EXPENDITURES Enter also on line 11 of C4 \$ 30,031.13

EXPENDITURES CONTINUATION SHEET (Attachment to Schedule A)

Page 3

Candidate or Committee Name (Do not abbreviate. Use full name.)

Report Date

Just Want Privacy (Just Want Privacy)

04/01/17

04/30/17

Date Paid	Vendor or Recipient (Name and Address)	Code	Purpose of Expense and/or Description	Amount
04/12/17	Office Depot 5190 Borgen Blvd Gig Harbor, WA 98332	L	Flyers	320.64
04/13/17	L&L Printing 1430 E Main Ave Ste E Puyallup, WA 98372	V	Petition printing	1,487.84
04/13/17	The Copy Shop 724 E University Way Ellensburg, WA 98926	L	Copies	56.86
04/15/17	ADP 605 5th Ave S Seattle, WA 98104	W	Payroll taxes	324.07
04/15/17	Kaeley A Triller Haver 1610 Lucille Pkwy NW Gig Harbor, WA 98335	W	Payroll	1,045.81
04/19/17	Stephens & Klinge LLP 10900 NE 8th St Ste 1325 Bellevue, WA 98004	M	Legal fees	2,000.00
04/21/17	ADP 605 5th Ave S Seattle, WA 98104	G	Payroll fees	52.67
04/24/17	Anedot PO Box 84314 Baton Rouge, LA 70884	G	Credit card fees	56.39
04/24/17	Omah Ekott 1002 E Walnut Ave Ste C Fullerton, CA 92831	V	Signature gathering	20,000.00
04/25/17	Campaign Compliance Solutions PO Box 1283 Puyallup, WA 98371	M	Compliance consulting	500.00
04/28/17	L&L Printing 1430 E Main Ave Ste E Puyallup, WA 98372	V	Petition printing	807.77
04/29/17	ADP 605 5th Ave S Seattle, WA 98104	W	Payroll taxes	324.06

Page Total \$ 26,976.11

**Attachment to Schedule A
Additional Deposits**

for the period: 04/01/17 04/30/17

5

Name

Just Want Privacy (Just Want Privacy)

Date of Deposit	Amount	Date of Deposit	Amount	Date of Deposit	Amount
04/19/17	780.00				
04/21/17	1,270.00				
04/24/17	1,260.00				
04/25/17	1,515.00				
04/28/17	723.00				
04/30/17	822.00				

IN KIND CONTRIBUTIONS, PLEDGES, ORDERS, DEBTS, OBLIGATIONS

SCHEDULE
TO C4

B

(11/93)

6

Candidate or Committee Name (Do not abbreviate. Use full name.)
Just Want Privacy (Just Want Privacy)

Report Date
04/01/17 04/30/17

3. ORDERS PLACED, DEBTS, OBLIGATIONS. (Give estimate if actual amount not known. Exclude loans. Report loans on Schedule L.)

Expenditure Date	Vendor's/Recipient's Name and Address	Amount Owed	Code OR	Description of Obligation
04/05/2017	Kathy Aalto 320 E 32nd St Unit 306 Tacoma, WA 98404	88.29	P	Postage reimbursement
TOTAL THIS PAGE		88.29		



FAMILY POLICY INSTITUTE

of Washington

[Home](#)

[Our Issues](#)

[Events](#)

[Media](#)

[Get Involved](#)

National Organizations

- [Alliance Defending Freedom](#)
- [Family Policy Alliance](#)
- [Family Research Council](#)
- [Focus on the Family](#)
- [ParentalRights.ORG](#)
- [Teen Pact](#)



Su Ky

Yesterday at 7:08pm

urgent need for volunteers in Tacoma for data entry: Graham says 13k paid sigs coming in tomorrow. I need the equivalent of 6-7 people putting in 10-hour days for the next 2-3 days to deal with these, and we're required to do validity checks in 48 hours. Work at 3620 100th St SW, just west of the Arco at the corner of 100th & S Tacoma Way, 1 block NW of I-5 & 512. (The address is not to be accidentally leaked beyond this group except to committed provable loyal volunteers)

Kathy Markham Aalto

8 hrs · Tacoma

An offer to use commercial scanner for petitions by Tadd Bentson. Local small printing company. Fully supportive of initiative and friends with [Kaeley Triller Haver](#). I just picked up the donation envelopes from him.

Someone needs to contact him because it could save both time and money, even if he were to charge something and there is a chance that there might not be a cost at all. His scanner can take probably 100 or 150 at a time



Like

Comment



Su Ky we had already discussed all that. He himself has not decided what he'll charge. We discussed a few possible times and that I'd call in advance; he suggested a trial batch of ~200 sheets to do while I waited; we'll do 100 folded-but-not-dog-eared sheets and 100 dog-eared-but-not-folded sheets. I assume he'll treat us like a regular customer and his crew will scan, vs letting us run it ourselves (I didn't consider that; I'll see if he makes that offer). I will be shopping for rental of hi-speed scanners, we rented one for R74 and Chris Plante said it worked great and he tried to rent another last year from the same source but it never arrived but Chris said he would still consider renting from them again. (Tadd didn't describe his as a hi-speed industrial but significantly faster than ours.) PrintNW does not scan, his only suggestion was NWRepro which I havne't called yeyt.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1 hr



John Wayne Lee

8 mins · 🧑

Data entry



Like



Comment

Write a comment...



Su Ky

41 mins

We can use office volunteers in south Tacoma today and other days this week. Data entry ,scanning, numbering/organizing sheets. I know most of you are busy gathering but if you know others who could help, recruit them. Message me for details.

Like

Comment

Kaeley Triller Haver

Seen by 8



Su Ky DOn't have a SnoCo office yet, hopefully soon. Any work we can do in May (ie turn in sigs early) will make things easier in June-July.

Like · **Reply** · 39 mins

Karen T Danis

14 hrs

Anyone in King, Snohomish, or Skagit who might be willing to help Su Ky with petition processing??

Like Show more reactions Comment

3

Kathleen Estabrook and 2 others

View 3 more comments



Dawn Odell Land Will this be up in the Kirkland area?
I'm right on the Pierce/King line.

LikeShow more reactions · Reply · 10 hrs



Su Ky no it'll be in Lynnwood. Kirkland I wish!

LikeShow more reactions · Reply · 1 · 2 hrs



PERSPECTIVES

Dear FPIW Champion,

I want to make sure you had a chance to read FPIW President, Joseph Backholm's, latest blog message. As the *Just Want Privacy*, I-1552, campaign enters the final three weeks of signature-gathering, this story is a perfect illustration as to why reestablishing a proper interpretation of Washington's public accommodation rules is so important.

Please share this email with your friends and family, then share the actual blog post on your social media platforms to help spread the news.

Thank you.

Chris

Women's Spa Under Fire for "No Penis" Rule

Proponents of genderless locker rooms, showers, and bathrooms have long used slogans like "free to pee" to minimize the issue.

"Who cares where people go to the bathroom?" No one.

"Are you going to have a genital monitor standing outside the door?" Of course, no one is interested in that.

They miss the point on purpose, most likely.

But a recent story out of Toronto highlights the real problem of creating one-sized fits all rule that applies to every situation regardless of how unique it is.

The Body Blitz Spa operates two facilities in Toronto. This business is unique because it is a single-sex facility enjoyed in the nude.

A naked spa for women.

Apparently, there are women who enjoy taking their clothes off with strangers and doing spa things.

To each her own, right? No judgment here.

Even if this isn't your particular cup of tea, it isn't hard to imagine why someone who enjoys being naked in a spa exclusively for women might feel differently knowing that a man could waltz in at any time.

Well, this poor spa has the misfortune of being in a gender-specific business in 2017.

In an attempt to acknowledge the tremendous confusion about gender while still being in business and honoring the needs of their clientele, they had adopted a no-penis rule. Men who had undergone gender reassignment surgery would be allowed, but people with a penis (still known by virtually everyone as men) would not be allowed.

Naturally, the progressive mob was outraged.

How dare they try to reach a compromise that attempted to balance the needs of the super majority of their clients as well as the handful of people who experience gender dysphoria.

Compromise is so kindergarten.

These snowflakes are in college now, and in college, we learned that some women have a penis and anyone who disagrees deserves to be burned at the stake.



So burn they will.

Metaphorically of course.

They started the obligatory boycott, which would be incomplete without its own hashtag. #BoycottBodyBlitz

Their Facebook page is full of comments from people who have probably never been to the spa, and maybe never been to the country, giving their two cents about how awful all the people involved are.

Standard fare.

Though this is happening in Canada, it is instructive to those of us in Washington State.

Right now, Washington State has the same rule in place. There are spas in Washington State that provide similar opportunities for women to enjoy spa treatments in the nude. But presently, Washington State law provides legal liability to those facilities if they attempt to stop me from walking in and taking my clothes off.

That's unreasonable.

And only the most unreasonable among us are unable to see that.

Fortunately, there's a solution. Initiative 1552 would eliminate the one-sized fits all rule that currently applies to every public accommodation in the state and once again gives businesses owners the freedom to create policies that consider everyone's interests.

In addition, I-1552 would require schools to maintain separate locker rooms, showers, and bathrooms for boys and girls.

There are only four weeks left to get the 330,000 signatures necessary to allow the voters to have a voice on this issue.

If you haven't signed the petition, [go here to have a petition mailed to you](#), so your friends and family can sign the petition. Then, [follow this to make a crucial donation](#).

The thing is, there is a middle ground in this debate.

I'm sure most of us can agree not to care where people go to the bathroom if the other side can agree to respect personal boundaries.

Sadly, that option wasn't ever offered.

In one day we moved from, "Love can't exist without boundaries" to "Boundaries are bigotry. Bigot."

Which means we have to insist. Politely but firmly.

It isn't about someone being free to pee.

It's about no one being free to make even simple decisions without consulting government first.

Share this with every business owner you know who doesn't want to be sued for protecting the privacy of people in their business.

Then share it with every parent and grandparent who doesn't want their 13-year old daughter or granddaughter forced to share a locker room with a boy who claims to be a girl.

Compassion does require you to care about other people's kids. But it also requires you to care about your own.

I-1552 is your last chance to fix this in Washington State. You have less than four weeks.

Or we can do nothing and watch businesses like the Body Blitz Spa shut down because people were afraid to defend sanity.

And while you're at it, you might want to work on what you'll say when your kindergartner comes home and tells you that some women have a penis.

[Go here to read and comment further.](#)





This email was sent to | [Unsubscribe](#)
Family Policy Institute of Washington | 16108 Ash Way, Suite 113 | Lynnwood | WA | 98087



nonprofit software

Copyright 2017: Family Policy Institute of Washington - 16108 Ash Way, Suite 113 Lynnwood, WA 98087

[Scroll to top](#)